

STATE OF MAINE  
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 2001-868

January 23, 2002

VERIZON NEW ENGLAND INC. D/B/A  
VERIZON MAINE  
Request for Approval of  
Interconnection Agreement with  
RNK, Inc. d/b/a RNK Telecom

ORDER APPROVING  
INTERCONNECTION  
AGREEMENTS WITH  
RNK, INC. D/B/A TELECOM

WELCH, Chairman; NUGENT and DIAMOND, Commissioners

---

In this Order, we approve two interconnection agreements between Verizon New England Inc. d/b/a Verizon Maine (Verizon Maine) and RNK, Inc. d/b/a RNK Telecom (RNK), pursuant to section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

The Commission previously approved a Resale Agreement between New England Telephone and Telegraph Company d/b/a Bell Atlantic - Maine (Bell Atlantic) and RNK, Inc. in Docket No. 98-084 on March 17, 1998, and an Interconnection Agreement between Bell Atlantic and RNK, Inc. d/b/a RNK Telecom in Docket No. 99-452 on August 19, 1999.

On December 18, 2001, Verizon Maine filed two negotiated interconnection agreements with RNK, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252, enacted by the Telecommunications Act of 1996. That section allows interconnection agreements that provide for interconnection between an incumbent local exchange carrier (ILEC) and another telecommunications carrier, including a competitive local exchange carrier (CLEC). It also allows a telecommunications carrier to purchase unbundled network elements or local services at a discounted wholesale rate (the discount reflecting avoided cost), or both, from an ILEC (or CLEC).

One agreement is an interconnection agreement dated as of August 30, 2001 by and between Verizon Maine and RNK ("the stand-alone agreement"). A second agreement is a letter agreement between Verizon Maine and RNK dated August 24, 2001 ("the adoption agreement"). The adoption agreement incorporates terms and conditions of a separate interconnection agreement between the New England Telephone and Telegraph Company d/b/a Bell Atlantic-Rhode Island and Cox Rhode Island Telecom II, L.L.C. d/b/a Cox Communications, as allowed to go into effect by the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission by operation of law on March 8, 1999, to include an amendment approved by the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission on August 3, 1999, in Docket No. 2614 (the "Separate Agreement," attached as Appendix 1 to the adoption agreement filed in this proceeding). The adoption agreement also incorporates a document styled as "Verizon's standard pricing schedule for interconnection agreements in Maine," attached as Appendix 2 to the adoption agreement.

RNK will pay to Verizon Maine the interconnection prices contained in the voluntary agreements that were reached pursuant to arms-length negotiations between the parties. The pricing standards contained in 47 U.S.C. § 252(d) apply only to arbitration proceedings under section 252(b) and not to negotiated agreements under section 252(a). Verizon Maine does not represent that the prices contained in the agreements are consistent with the section 252(d) pricing standards or with any other state or federal policy.

Section 252(e)(2) states that a state commission may reject a negotiated agreement only if it finds that "the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement" or if "the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity." We received no comments by the comment deadline set in a January 2, 2002 Notice of Agreement and Opportunity to Comment. We do not make either of the findings set for in section 252(e)(2) for rejection, and we therefore approve the agreements.

We qualify our approval in two respects, however, and reserve findings on future potential issues. First, we reserve judgment on whether the rates contained in the agreements are reasonable from the perspective of Verizon Maine's retail ratepayers. Verizon Maine is presently under an alternative form of regulation (AFOR) ordered by the Commission in Docket No. 94-123. The AFOR began in December, 1995. Under the AFOR, Verizon Maine bears the risk of lost revenues resulting from rates that are too low. In Docket No. 99-851, we have continued the AFOR until May 31, 2006. We do not resolve whether Verizon Maine is receiving reasonable compensation from any CLECs that may avail themselves of the rates provided to RNK pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(i).

Second, section 271(c) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 271(c), requires that the Bell Operating Companies (BOCs) meet certain requirements before they are allowed to provide interLATA service (the so-called "competitive checklist"). Under section 271(d)(3), the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) must determine whether the BOC has met the competitive checklist before granting the BOC authority to provide interLATA service within its region. Prior to making that determination, the FCC must consult with state commissions to verify the compliance of the BOC with the checklist. Our approval of these agreements should not be construed as a finding that Verizon Maine has met those requirements.

We note that in the filed adoption agreement letter, RNK "represents and warrants that it is a certified provider of local telecommunications service in the State of Maine...". To the contrary, this Commission has not granted authority to RNK to operate in Maine at this time. If RNK wishes to provide public utility services, it must seek Commission authorization to provide those services pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 2102, and we will require RNK to maintain schedules of rates, terms, and conditions pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. §§ 304. The terms and conditions shall specify the areas in which the utility will actually provide originating and terminating local exchange service, and may do so by reference to incumbent local exchange carrier exchanges rather than by municipalities.

The agreements filed by Verizon Maine provide for interconnection between RNK and Verizon Maine's network in Maine. If RNK seeks to interconnect with networks maintained by other incumbent local exchange carriers in Maine, it must seek a termination, suspension, or modification of the exemption contained in 47 U.S.C. 251(f)(1)(A).

**ORDERING PARAGRAPHS**

Accordingly, we

1. Approve the Interconnection Agreement between Verizon New England Inc. d/b/a Verizon Maine and RNK, Inc. d/b/a RNK Telecom, dated as of August 30, 2001, attached hereto, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(e);
2. Approve the letter Interconnection Agreement between Verizon New England Inc. d/b/a Verizon Maine and RNK, Inc. d/b/a RNK Telecom, dated August 24, 2001, attached hereto, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(e);
3. Order that RNK, Inc. d/b/a RNK Telecom shall not provide local exchange telephone service until the Commission grants authority to RNK, Inc. d/b/a RNK Telecom to provide such service and until the Commission approves schedules of rates, terms and conditions for the provision of such service; and
4. Order that the Administrative Director shall make a copy of the attached agreements available for public inspection and copying pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 252(h) within 10 days of the date of this Order.

Dated at Augusta, Maine this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of January, 2002.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

---

Dennis L. Keschl  
Administrative Director

COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR: Welch

Nugent  
Diamond

NOTICE OF RIGHTS TO REVIEW OR APPEAL

5 M.R.S.A. § 9061 requires the Public Utilities Commission to give each party to an adjudicatory proceeding written notice of the party's rights to review or appeal of its decision made at the conclusion of the adjudicatory proceeding. The methods of review or appeal of PUC decisions at the conclusion of an adjudicatory proceeding are as follows:

1. Reconsideration of the Commission's Order may be requested under Section 1004 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (65-407 C.M.R.110) within 20 days of the date of the Order by filing a petition with the Commission stating the grounds upon which reconsideration is sought.
2. Appeal of a final decision of the Commission may be taken to the Law Court by filing, within 30 days of the date of the Order, a Notice of Appeal with the Administrative Director of the Commission, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(1)-(4) and the Maine Rules of Appellate Procedure.
3. Additional court review of constitutional issues or issues involving the justness or reasonableness of rates may be had by the filing of an appeal with the Law Court, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(5).

Note: The attachment of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the particular document may be subject to review or appeal. Similarly, the failure of the Commission to attach a copy of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the document is not subject to review or appeal.